

Grave Errors and Misleading Views of **Imran Hosein**



Published by
Madrasah Ta'leemuddeen, Isipingo Beach

**Grave Errors and Misleading
Views of**

Imran Hosein

in His Book

**“The Messiah, The Qur’aan
&**

Aakhiruz Zamaan”

**as well as aspects circulated
on Social Media.**

Published by

Madrasah Ta’leemuddeen, Isipingo Beach

Table of Contents

ISSUE 1.....	5
THE MISINTERPRETATION OF THE CRUCIFIXION AND DEATH OF ISA (‘ALAIHIS SALAAM)	5
ISSUE 2.....	11
IMRAN HOSEIN’S REJECTION OF THE QIRAA’AH OF 11 SURAH ZUKHRUF, 43:61 وَإِنَّهُ لَعِلْمٌ لِّلسَّاعَةِ	11
ISSUE 3.....	15
THE CLAIM THAT ISA (‘ALAIHIS SALAAM) WILL LEAD THE CHRISTIANS INSTEAD OF THE MUSLIMS	15
ISSUE 4.....	17
THE FALSE CLAIM THAT CHRISTIANS WILL ATTAIN SALVATION WITHOUT ACCEPTING ISLAM	17
CONCLUSION:	20

Imran Hosein has propagated serious deviations which clearly contradict the teachings of **the Holy Qur'aan Shareef and the Mubaarak Ahaadeeth of Rasulullah (sallallahu 'alaihi wasallam)**, thereby placing his Imaan in jeopardy.

His erroneous views, widely circulated on social media and published in his book titled

“The Messiah, The Qur'aan & Aakhiruz Zamaan”, are of grave concern.

Two Most alarming deviations of Imran Hosein are:

1. He claims that **Isa ('alaihi salaam)** was crucified, killed and then resurrected—contradicting the explicit verses of the Glorious **Qur'aan Shareef**.
2. He rejects the established recitation **of verse 61 of Surah 43**, falsely attributing it to human error.

These views directly oppose the fundamental tenets of Islam. They are not matters of secondary importance that can be taken lightly or brushed aside as a “difference of opinion”.

Issue 1

The Misinterpretation of The Crucifixion and Death of Isa ('alaihi salaam)

Imran Hosein holds the heretical belief that Hazrat **Isa ('alaihi salaam)** was crucified, he experienced a form of death, he was then resurrected and only thereafter was raised to the heavens.

This view directly contradicts the clear statements of the **Qur'aan** and the authentic **Ahaadeeth**.

The following statements from **Hosein's** book, "**The Messiah, The Qur'aan, and Aakhiruz Zamaan**", clearly demonstrate his deviation from the truth:

- **Page 124:** "We now have information which explains why the Jews were convinced that they had killed Jesus ('alaihi salaam) and that he died by crucifixion. They were justified in coming to that conclusion since Allah took the soul of Jesus before their very eyes while he was nailed on the cross."
- **Page 131:** "Jews were successful in killing him and crucifying him."
- **Page 133:** "Allah took his soul while he was on the cross."

In Chapter Three of his book,

“The Messiah, The Qur’aan and Aakhiruz Zamaan”, which **Hosein** describes as ‘the most important chapter of the book’, he attributes crucifixion to **Hazrat Isa (‘alaihi salaam)** an astonishing 34 times within just 56 pages **(114–170)!**

His persistence in propagating this falsehood demonstrates a blatant disregard for the unequivocal declaration of the **Qur’aan**:

وَمَا قَتَلُوهُ وَمَا صَلَبُوهُ وَلَٰكِن شُبِّهَ لَهُمْ

And they did not kill him, nor did they crucify him, but it was made to “appear so to them...”

(Surah al-Nisaa, 4:157)

This alone is sufficient to expose the serious nature of his misguidance.

Any valid interpretation must align with the teachings of **Rasulullah (sallallahu ‘alaihi wasallam)**, his Sahaabah or their direct students.

Any interpretation deviating from this established tradition is unreliable and rejected.

Regarding the belief in Isa ('alaihi salaam), there are three distinct views:

1. The Jews falsely claim that they killed him.
2. The Christians also falsely believe he was crucified and resurrected after three days.
3. The Muslims affirm that **Isa ('alaihi salaam) DID NOT DIE**, rather he was raised to the heavens, body and soul, unharmed.

Before *Qiyaamah*, he will descend, slay Dajjaal, live a natural life, then pass away and be buried next to **Rasulullah (sallallahu 'alaihi wasallam)**.

The **Qur'aan** explicitly rejects both Jewish and Christian claims, by emphatically declaring:

وَمَا قَتَلُوهُ وَمَا صَلَبُوهُ

“They did not kill him, nor did they crucify him.”
(**Surah al-Nisaa,4:157**)

Despite this clear **Qur'anic** ruling, **Imran Hosein** asserts that **Isa ('alaihi salaam)** was indeed crucified, underwent a form of death, was then resurrected and only thereafter taken up.

His misinterpretation is rooted in his erroneous understanding of the word **مُتَوَفِّيكَ**, which he distorts to justify his view.

To support his false beliefs, **Imran Hosein** manipulates the meaning of **مُتَوَفِّيكَ** twisting **Qur'anic** interpretation to suit his narrative.

Imran Hosein fails to realize that by manipulating this word, he is blaspheming **Isa ('alaihi salaam)** and attributing a death to him that never occurred.

Moreover, he is guilty of intentionally misinterpreting the word of **Allah Ta'ala**.

Imran Hosein's commentary on the verse **وَلَكِنْ شُبِّهَ لَهُمْ (Surah Nisaa, 4:157)** directly contradicts the mainstream tafseer of the golden era.

The Ummah has historically explained this verse as referring to the confusion of both Jews and Christians regarding **Isa ('alaihi salaam)**, where the Jews wrongly believed they killed him and the

Christians thought he was resurrected after crucifixion.

The specific details of how this confusion occurred are secondary and not addressed by the **Qur'aan**.

However, **Imran Hosein** distorts the verse to claim that the Jews captured, crucified and seemingly killed **Isa ('alaihi salaam)**, which goes against the clear **Qur'aanic** verses affirming his protection and elevation to the heavens, such as:

- إِنِّْي رَافِعُكَ إِلَيَّ –
“I will raise you up to Myself.”
- إِوَمَا قَتَلُوهُ وَمَا صَلَبُوهُ –
“They did not kill him, nor did they crucify him.”
- وَمَا قَتَلُوهُ يَقِيْنًا ﴿١٥٧﴾ بَلْ رَفَعَهُ اللّٰهُ إِلَيْهِ ۚ وَكَانَ اللّٰهُ عَزِيْزًا حَكِيْمًا –
“Most certainly, they did not kill him. Rather, (the truth and reality of the matter is that) Allah Ta‘ala raised him up to Himself.”
- وَإِذْ كَفَفْتُ بَنِي إِسْرَآئِيْلَ عَنْكَ –
“Remember, O Isa, how I prevented the Israelites from causing harm to you!”

Imran Hosein's misinterpretation directly contradicts the **Qur'aan**, where **Allah Ta'ala** clearly declares **Isa ('alaihi salaam)** was neither killed nor crucified.

Ironically, **Hosein** (under another discussion) criticises some commentators of the **Qur'aan** for not considering all relevant verses, but he fails to apply the same standard to his own commentary, which he unjustly defends as the only valid interpretation.

His views not only contradict the consensus of the **Sahaabah (radhiyallahu 'anhum)** but also go against the explicit text of the **Qur'aan**.

Issue 2

Imran Hosein's Rejection of the Qiraa'ah of Surah Zukhruf, 43:61 وَإِنَّهُ لَعِلْمٌ لِّلسَّاعَةِ

Hosein is also guilty of a serious blunder concerning the recitation of the **Quraan e Majeed** verse 61 of *Surah Zukhruf*.

The verse states:

وَإِنَّهُ لَعِلْمٌ لِّلسَّاعَةِ

“And indeed, he (Isa) is a sign heralding the Hour (Qiyaamah)...”

Imran Hosein claimed that the word **‘ilmun’** in this verse was not part of the **Qur’aan**.

He argued that this word was not revealed by **Allah Ta’ala** and, therefore, should not be considered part of the **Qur’aan**.

Instead, he asserted that the word should be read as **‘alamun’**. He further alleged that the diacritical marks, which were later inserted by human beings, were the source of the supposed error.

Thus, it follows that according to **Imran Hosein**, for fourteen centuries, the Ummah at large, including thousands of great scholars in every era, had been reciting this verse incorrectly and only he has now uncovered the correct understanding.

This belief of **Imran Hosein** is extremely serious, as it implies an allegation against **Allah Ta'ala**—that He has not preserved the **Qur'aan Majeed**—whereas **Allah Ta'ala** Himself has taken the responsibility of safeguarding it in its original form. In the **Qur'aan Majeed**, Allah Ta'ala states:

إِنَّا نَحْنُ نَزَّلْنَا الذِّكْرَ وَإِنَّا لَهُ لَحَافِظُونَ

“Indeed, We have revealed the Zikr (i.e. the Qur'aan Majeed) and We will most certainly preserve it.”

The reading of the word under discussion as **‘ilmun’** is the established and unanimously accepted recitation of this verse, transmitted by **Rasulullah (sallallahu ‘alaihi wasallam)** to his **Sahaabah (radhiyallahu ‘anhum)**.

It is found in all ten canonical qiraa'aat (authentic modes of recitation), each of which has been preserved through tawaatur

i.e. transmitted from **Rasulullah (sallallahu 'alaihi wasallam)** through an unbroken chain of narration in every era by such a vast number of trustworthy individuals that any possibility of collusion or fabrication is inconceivable.

Since tawaatur ensures absolute authenticity, rejecting this recitation constitutes a rejection of a divinely protected part of the **Qur'aan e Majeed**.

The diacritical marks which are in the **Quraan (fathah, dhammah, kasrah, etc.)** were introduced to facilitate proper recitation and to preserve the integrity of the **Qur'aan's** pronunciation.

However, these markings were not arbitrarily assigned; rather, they were aligned with the established modes of recitation, which had already been transmitted through tawaatur.

Thus, the diacritical markings of '**ilmun**' in this verse reflect the authentic recitation passed down from **Rasulullah (sallallahu 'alaihi wasallam)**.

Claiming that these markings are erroneous or that they altered the **Qur'aan's** meaning undermines the divine preservation of the **Qur'aan**.

Imran Hosein also attempted to justify his claim by citing a report that **Ibnu ‘Abbaas (radhiyallahu ‘anhuma)** recited **‘alamun’** instead of **‘ilmun’**.

However, this report does not meet the stringent criterion of tawaatur.

Readings that lack tawaatur cannot be considered part of the **Qur’aan** or recited as such, as there is a strong possibility that this particular mode of recitation was abrogated and thus not transmitted through by a large number of reliable narrators.

Substantiating this recitation with certain books of Tafseer (Qur’aanic exegesis) does not fulfil the requirement for it to be recognised as part of the **Qur’aan**.

The scholarly consensus is clear: any recitation that fails to meet the tawaatur standard cannot be included in or regarded to be part of the **Qur’aan**.

Issue 3

The Claim That Isa ('alaihi salaam) will Lead the Christians Instead of the Muslims

Imran Hosein claimed that upon the return of **Isa ('alaihi salaam)**, he **Isa (alaihi salaam)** will not go to the Muslims but to the Christians.

He further asserted that at that time, there will be two separate **khilaafahs** —

one led by the **Mahdi (radhiyallahu 'anhu)**, guiding the Muslims and the other led by **Isa ('alaihi salaam)**, guiding the Christians.

Rasulullah (sallallahu 'alaihi wasallam) clearly stated in his **Mubaarak Ahaadeeth** that when **Isa ('alaihi salaam)** returns, he will go to the Muslims.

The **Mahdi (radhiyallahu 'anhu)** will no longer remain their leader but will follow **Isa ('alaihi salaam)**, who will lead them.

At that time, there will be only one **khilaafah**, under the leadership of **Nabi Isa ('alaihi salaam)**, uniting all Muslims under his rule.

The belief that there will be two separate **khilaafahs** and that **Isa ('alaihi salaam)** will be sent for the Christians is incorrect and contradicts fundamental Islamic teachings.

Islam affirms that upon the return of Hazrat **Isa ('alaihi salaam)**, Hazrat **Isa (alahis salaam)** will lead the Muslims and govern according to the sharee'ah of the final messenger **Rasulullah (sallallahu 'alaihi wasallam)**.

Rasulullah (sallallahu 'alaihi wasallam) said:

“I take an oath by that Being in whose hand lies my life!

The time is most certainly close (before Qiyaamah) when the son of Maryam i.e. Isa ('alaihi salaam) will descend from the sky.

He will rule among you as a just ruler.

He will break the cross and kill the swine...”

(Saheeh Muslim #155)

Issue 4

The False Claim That Christians Will Attain Salvation Without Accepting Islam

Imran Hosein claims that when **Isa ('alaihi salaam)** returns, he will go to the Christians, leading them to recognise the **Qur'aan** as the book of **Allah Ta'ala** and **Rasulullah (sallallahu 'alaihi wasallam)** as His prophet.

However, he asserts that they will continue following Christianity and if they die in this state, they will be forgiven and granted Jannah despite not embracing Islam.

He further argues that if the Jews and the Christians acknowledge the **Qur'aan** and **Rasulullah (sallallahu 'alaihi wasallam)** but persist in their previous faiths, then the Jews and the Christians will still be considered believers and do not need to follow Islam.

This belief is in direct contradiction to Islam.

Anyone who subscribes to such a belief is out of the fold of Islam.

Furthermore, **Imran Hosain** claims that Christians who remain upon Christianity at the time of Hazrat **Isa's ('alaihi salaams)** return will be forgiven and granted Jannah is false.

When **Isa ('alaihi salaam)** returns, he will follow the **sharee'ah of Rasulallah (sallallahu 'alaihi wasallam)** and will not uphold Christianity.

Rather, his mission will be to abolish it, as explicitly stated in the **Hadith of Saheeh Muslim: 'He will rule among you as a just ruler. He will break the cross and kill the swine...' (Saheeh Muslim #155).**

Rasulallah (sallallahu 'alaihi wasallam) also made it unequivocally clear that anyone who does not accept him and his Deen will be doomed to Jahannam.

In a Hadith it is reported that Rasulullah (sallallahu ‘alaihi wasallam) stated:

“I take an oath by that Being in whose hand lies the life of Muhammad! Any person from this Ummah who hears of me, whether a Jew or a Christian and thereafter dies without bringing Imaan in the Deen with which I have been sent, will be from the people of the fire of Jahannam.” **(Saheeh Muslim #153).**



Conclusion:

In conclusion, the views presented by **Imran Hosein** concerning the crucifixion of **Isa ('alaihi salaam)**, the mode of recitation of **verse 61 of Surah 43**, the return of **Isa ('alaihi salaam)** and the salvation of non-Muslims are all in **direct contradiction to core Islamic beliefs**.

1. His claim that **Isa ('alaihi salaam)** was crucified¹, in clear opposition to the **Qur'aan** and Hadith, is a deviation from authentic Islamic teachings.
2. His erroneous claim about the recitation of the verse challenges the authenticity of the **Qur'aan**, undermining its preservation.
3. His assertion that Jews and Christians who acknowledge the truth of the **Qur'aan** and **Nabi Muḥammad (sallallahu 'alaihi wasallam)**, yet continue to follow their own religions, will be saved, contradicts the fundamental Islamic teaching that true salvation can only be attained through belief in the final Messenger of **Allah Ta'ala** and the **Qur'aan- e- Kareem**.

¹ The previous edition of this booklet had a typographical error at this point.

This is the correct presentation.

4. His view that **Isa ('alaihi salaam)** will return to guide Christians, rather than following the sharee'ah of **Rasulullah (sallallahu 'alaihi wasallam)**, directly contradicts the Hadith, which states that **Isa ('alaihi salaam)** will break the cross and abolish Christianity.

Additionally, these beliefs, when examined in light of Islamic creed, are clearly incorrect and cannot be accepted without compromising the very foundation of Islam.

Such deviations highlight the importance of following the authentic teachings of the **Qur'aan-e-Kareem** as transmitted by the **Rasulullah (sallallahu 'alaihi wasallam)** and the agreement of the pious predecessors.

May Allah Ta'ala protect us from misguidance and keep our hearts firm upon the truth.